



Portland Inquirer.

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 24, 1853.  
FOR PRESIDENT IN 1856,  
JOHN P. HALE,  
OF NEW HAMPSHIRE.  
MR. HEZEKIAH DODGE,  
Of this city, is our authorized travelling Agent  
or the Inquirer.

The Portland Inquirer.

500 MEMBERS FOR THE NEW YORK!  
We refer again to the subject of this paper, partly on account of a change in its affairs. We have purchased the interest of Mr. Thurston, and the paper is exclusively our own—the contract to take effect at the beginning of the next volume, January 1, 1854. This appears to be a more desirable than the present arrangement, and we take the whole responsibility and expense upon our own hands, and venture upon the kindness of our friends. Their past patronage has taught us to do so with entire confidence. The business we have carried on as a unit has been a joint concern.

This is the second time we have thus taken the paper. In 1841, when it was enlarged, \$1000 was raised for it, and expended by others than in a single year, besides its earnings for the same time. The business was then carried on as a unit has been a joint concern. It was carried on as a unit has been a joint concern. It was carried on as a unit has been a joint concern.

In 1846, owing to causes we need not state, the paper was enlarged, and the business was then carried on as a unit has been a joint concern. It was carried on as a unit has been a joint concern. It was carried on as a unit has been a joint concern.

The expense of publishing a paper has much increased within three years, in point of labor, paper, and living. And within a year we must have new type. The necessity of increased circulation is therefore obvious. We believe it practicable.

Since we were urged to return to Maine, in 1850, our path has been obstructed. The waves of oppression returned darkly over the land, and freedom had to withstand its heavy surges till the release should speak its voice. Besides, the Maine Law was soon enacted, and the contest on that has nearly absorbed the reformers' energy and has been a great drain since.

Excellent as that cause was, it has lain directly across our path. Had we been allowed a fair field, our advance would have been much faster. It was no trifling matter to take this paper as it was, carry it firmly through the severe period referred to, and place it where it is now. To do it, we have been compelled, as usual, to labor for nothing but the satisfaction of seeing the cause sustained. Beginning with a circulation one quarter less than was supposed, the paper has done better—much better than we could have expected. To a great number of active friends we are under much obligation, and hope their confidence in the paper has been gained.

We derive some pleasure from the reflection that we are nearly, if not quite, the oldest anti-slavery office on the same field, except Mr. Garrison, in the country. Not another, we believe, is now at the same post as we occupy. We have entered the work, and only Dr. Bailey, we think, is now in the editorial field of freedom at all, of all who were there when we entered it, *nonnulli* deo written—never, except in humanity and a course redeemed. That record will be enough.

But a brighter day has come, and all the few friends of the cause have been called to reap some personal advantage for their labors. It will not be particularly disagreeable, especially to our families.

We have already alluded to measures in view to increase the interest of the Inquirer. These will also add to the expense, but we are determined to enter the work, and only Dr. Bailey, we think, is now in the editorial field of freedom at all, of all who were there when we entered it, *nonnulli* deo written—never, except in humanity and a course redeemed. That record will be enough.

An important Legislature of our own State is also to be held, where most important action will be had.

War in Europe has unshaken its bloody sword, and each week will bring intelligence deeply affecting the world's welfare.

This winter will be a year of newspaper circulation, no man or woman can afford to be such, and retain a character for respectable intelligence.

Issues with Tyranny.

American despotism now presents these aspects prominently to the world:

It seeks a division of California for its benefit, and menaces other Mexican States.

It threatens the press by denouncing every paper that dares to speak against slavery.

It is willing to establish slavery in our Mexican territories.

It has already fixed its harlot eyes on the great valley of the Amazon for a future slave country.

We will keep our readers well book-up on all these points, and whenever else the enemy appears, seeking to lead the people to oppose its inhuman and treasonable designs.

Foreign Papers Inefficient.

The last year especially has proved that papers out of the State are inefficient, and fail just when right influence is most needed, and fail just when our countrymen continually arising, they need something about the state of the country, and of home action their readers cannot understand. A common intelligence is necessary to unity and vigor of action, without which the party will have no reliable strength.

Take an illustration—The eastern circulation of this paper was extensively lost in 1849, and has not been generally recovered. The consequence has been that the Boston Commonwealth party for Governor was this year cast for other candidates. To a less extent the same was true in Massachusetts. Hundreds never knew of such a candidate as Dr. Holmes; or they were told it was just as well to vote for somebody else. And seeing not the reasons for doing otherwise, they voted for somebody else. It is therefore to be desired that we have an efficient party without the common bond of a home press. That lesson is learned. And State questions will become more and more important as the party advances to public responsibility. What good, for instance, will a foreign paper do us, if it is possible to have an efficient party without the common bond of a home press. That lesson is learned. And State questions will become more and more important as the party advances to public responsibility.

A Word with Our Folks.

If we could get at them we would cut a little in an earnest way what those who profess regard for the cause of freedom, yet take no free paper, and practically say either that their professions are a sham, or that the cause has no need of such papers, or that the cause is so heartily carried out that they can do without any obligations on that score. Remember this!—The slaves are to wear their chains till a voice speaks them off. Yet how many who are known by all to be fully able, refuse to patronize such a press on one flimsy pretext or another. Let us not be half as good as the other which led priests and levites to "pass by on the other side." They could refuse to aid the necessary means of their liberation, yet profess to be opposed to their bondage! They actually offend the dearest rights of millions against the mere notion of slight inconvenience, and say, "I object."—Whether they object, or whether they will let blood forever! What is their warfare to my newspaper notions? Friends, in this way now the true state of the case? Who doubts that with a thousand more circulation of this paper, we should have cast 10 or 15,000 votes for Dr. Holmes this year? Before we can do so, we must have the paper. Before we can do so, we must have the paper. Before we can do so, we must have the paper.

A Word to Parents.

We would ask freedom-loving fathers and mothers who bring no really free paper into their families, how can they answer for the want of right principles and action in their children? They are brought up to see the South, and become a slaveholder; another a partisan pro-slavery voter; another a cold apologist for oppression; while primarily rest the blame? And who is to carry through this cause after we have left the stage? The final hopes will be before you, as we are now, and the young men are in an anti-slavery newspaper to prepare them for their great trust, and to guard them against the strong surrounding temptations to the wrong. Of course you will manifest little interest in the subject.—What you once said will be remembered by you.

A New Volume and New Year.

January 1, 1854, we shall commence a new Volume of the Inquirer. Not one of the past fifteen years has been so full of interest and prospects so auspicious, and with hopes so bright. Never did the Cause rest on so broad a basis and so firm; never in our own State has it held a position of so much influence as now; and before the close of 1854 that will be denied if we have the occasion and the means now in our hands. We see this result with the clearest conviction.

Next year we choose a new list of Representatives to Congress. In 1856 the Electoral vote of the State is again to be cast, and it is not presumption but only a merely respectful notice to you that by the Favor of Heaven, that vote shall be cast for the Cause of Freedom. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it.

Next year we choose a new list of Representatives to Congress. In 1856 the Electoral vote of the State is again to be cast, and it is not presumption but only a merely respectful notice to you that by the Favor of Heaven, that vote shall be cast for the Cause of Freedom. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it.

Next year we choose a new list of Representatives to Congress. In 1856 the Electoral vote of the State is again to be cast, and it is not presumption but only a merely respectful notice to you that by the Favor of Heaven, that vote shall be cast for the Cause of Freedom. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it.

Next year we choose a new list of Representatives to Congress. In 1856 the Electoral vote of the State is again to be cast, and it is not presumption but only a merely respectful notice to you that by the Favor of Heaven, that vote shall be cast for the Cause of Freedom. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it.

Next year we choose a new list of Representatives to Congress. In 1856 the Electoral vote of the State is again to be cast, and it is not presumption but only a merely respectful notice to you that by the Favor of Heaven, that vote shall be cast for the Cause of Freedom. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it.

Next year we choose a new list of Representatives to Congress. In 1856 the Electoral vote of the State is again to be cast, and it is not presumption but only a merely respectful notice to you that by the Favor of Heaven, that vote shall be cast for the Cause of Freedom. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it.

Next year we choose a new list of Representatives to Congress. In 1856 the Electoral vote of the State is again to be cast, and it is not presumption but only a merely respectful notice to you that by the Favor of Heaven, that vote shall be cast for the Cause of Freedom. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it. We see prevent it—we shall prevent it.

Massachusetts Election.

Things were taken up in that State which reveal a new era of unusual importance to every friend of public virtue and progress. The new constitution is defeated by about 8000 votes. The State Senate stands about even between whigs and the coalition, and the House by the first trial largely whig; but the great power of resistance will stand as the opposition to control it will be the effort to strenuously made. But we do not see the efficacy of this intention. A month ago the prospects for the Constitution and the Coalition were clear beyond a reasonable doubt. What has happened the change?

The contest of one of the forms but of principle lay between progress and immobility; money and equality; money and man; aristocracy and democracy; slavery and freedom. The old constitution—more than half a century old—armed the whig aristocracy of Boston with almost absolute power over the State, without being elected by the general ticket, a party cause alone was necessary to secure the 44 from that city, and thus form the solid basis of power which nothing could break, fortified as it was by the business relations and money of that city. No reform would be carried out, and the State would be a mere puppet of the aristocracy. The new constitution would have been an offering to offend, without shielding the rum barrel and demagoguery against attack; and ever the grand arsenal of the slave power in New England. It was unscrupulous, haughty, arrogant, and as execrable as the Old.

It was a contest of one of the forms but of principle lay between progress and immobility; money and equality; money and man; aristocracy and democracy; slavery and freedom. The old constitution—more than half a century old—armed the whig aristocracy of Boston with almost absolute power over the State, without being elected by the general ticket, a party cause alone was necessary to secure the 44 from that city, and thus form the solid basis of power which nothing could break, fortified as it was by the business relations and money of that city. No reform would be carried out, and the State would be a mere puppet of the aristocracy. The new constitution would have been an offering to offend, without shielding the rum barrel and demagoguery against attack; and ever the grand arsenal of the slave power in New England. It was unscrupulous, haughty, arrogant, and as execrable as the Old.

The first instrument of the coalition was the new constitution. We were told the whigs of Boston spent \$100,000 in carrying this election.

The second was the Rum Power of that city, which girded up its loins in defense of its power on which it relies.

The third was the Papal Power, which in that city is not far from one-fourth of the vote. Mr. Dow, and as it always will be, the progress and freedom are contending with progress. We are told by those who were present that they saw scores of Irishmen march up to the polls with enveloped ballots put into their hands by the priests. The drag-net appears to have been thrown around the city, and the whigs would have the whole representation of Boston in the legislature, which is likely. This catholic vote alone was sufficient to account for the 5000 majority against the constitution.

The last weapon of the coalition was the party of real strength in Massachusetts was the whig, and that, as Ben Hallet said last year, coalition with free riders in that State had about spoiled the democracy there. To stop this order of things, the whigs have been forced to crush the coalition. It is now a matter of fact that the whigs have been forced to crush the coalition. It is now a matter of fact that the whigs have been forced to crush the coalition. It is now a matter of fact that the whigs have been forced to crush the coalition.

Maine Politics.

In 1849 the democratic party was a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1851 they were united in the same way. But in 1851 a change commenced. Two principal causes laid the foundation of division in the party. One was the whig, and the other was the compromise. By these questions division was first introduced into the democratic party which has been the cause of its weakness. The democratic party is now a unit, the same might be said of the whig party. Until 1





